

UNITED STATES COURT
DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

Matthew Cobb,

Plaintiff,

vs.

Supreme Judicial Court of the Commonwealth of
Massachusetts by and through its
individual members in their respective
Official capacities, Honorable Margaret H.
Marshall, C.J., John M. Greaney, J., Francis
X. Spina, J., Martha B. Sosman, J., Roderick
L. Ireland, J., Judith A. Cowin, J., and
Robert J. Cordy, J.

CIVIL ACTION

04 10390 MLW

PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR ISSUANCE OF:

TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER AND ORDER OF NOTICE AS TO WHY A
PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION SHOULD NOT ISSUE,

OR, IN THE ALTERNATIVE,

SHORT ORDER OF NOTICE WITH RETURN DATE ON OR BEFORE MAY 5, 2004,

TOGETHER WITH REQUEST FOR LEAVE TO FILE BRIEF IN SUPPORT HEREOF
IN EXCESS OF TWENTY PAGES.


Now comes the Plaintiff, Matthew Cobb, and, pursuant to
F.R.Civ.P. 65(b) and Local Rule 7.1, respectfully Moves for: the
issuance of a Temporary Restraining Order and an Order of Notice
to the Defendants as to why a Preliminary Injunction should not
issue, or, in the alternative, for a Short Order of Notice with a
Return Date on or Before May 6, 2004, with a additional request
for leave to file Brief in support hereof in excess of twenty
pages.

In support hereof, Plaintiff respectfully submits herewith his Brief in Support of Temporary Restraining Order with incorporated Affidavit.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff, Matthew Cobb, respectfully asks that the within Motion be Allowed.

Respectfully submitted,

*Served via
email
by consent*



Matthew Cobb
Law Office of Matthew Cobb
101 Tremont Street
Boston, Massachusetts 02108
(617) 357-7300

L.R. 7.1

I, Matthew Cobb, proposed to the attorney for the Defendants that the Parties enter into a Temporary Consent Decree for such duration as to be able to set down a convenient time for this Court to hear a Motion on Preliminary Injunction. The proposal was rejected, and this Motion has been filed.

